



# **Progress on Transferring Sclerotinia Resistance Genes from Wild *Helianthus* Species into Cultivated Sunflower**

**PI: Dr. Chao-Chien Jan**

**Co-PIs: Gerald J. Seiler (USDA-ARS, NCSL)**

**Thomas J. Gulya (USDA-ARS, NCSL, retired)**

**Postdocs: Zhao Liu; Jichong Zhang (NDSU)**

**Cooperators: Khalid Y. Rashid (Agric. & Agri-Food Canada)**

**Xiwen Cai (NDSU)**



# BACKGROUND

- Cultivated sunflower lacks sufficient genes for Sclerotinia resistance
- Field and greenhouse evaluations have indicated excellent stalk and head rot resistance in wild perennial *Helianthus* species
- In the previous ten years, our project has focused on the introgression of the Sclerotinia resistance genes from the wild perennial *Helianthus* species into cultivated sunflower
- Crosses and backcrosses have been made for hexaploid, tetraploid, diploid wild species and amphiploids with NMS HA 89, HA 410, HA 441, or HA 451

# OBJECTIVES



- Incorporate resistance genes from diverse wild perennial species into cultivated backgrounds
- Field and greenhouse evaluations to identify new resistant materials to Sclerotinia
- Genetic study of resistance and development of QTL mapping populations

# MATERIALS

## Phase 1 (since 2004)

12 sources used for stalk and head rot resistance genes

- ❖ 2 hexaploids (backcrossed with HA 410)  
*H. californicus* and *H. schweinitzii*
- ❖ 3 diploids (backcrossed with HA 410)  
*H. maximiliani*, *H. giganteus*, and *H. grosseserratus*
- ❖ 2 diploids (backcrossed with HA 441)  
*H. nuttallii* and *H. maximiliani*
- ❖ 5 amphiploids (backcrossed with HA 410)  
*H. nuttallii*/P21, *H. maximiliani*/P21, *H. gracilentus*/P21,  
*H. grosseserratus*/P21, and *H. strumosus*/P21

# MATERIALS

## Phase 2 (since 2010)

No.	Accession	Species	2n	Year
1	PI 547171	<i>H. hirsutus</i>	68	2011
2	PI 547174	<i>H. hirsutus</i>	68	2011
3	Ames 30340	<i>H. salicifolius</i>	34	2011
4	Ames 30348	<i>H. salicifolius</i>	34	2011
5	PI 494594	<i>H. occidentalis</i> subsp. <i>plantagineus</i>	34	2011
6	Ames 30317	<i>H. occidentalis</i> subsp. <i>plantagineus</i>	34	2011
7	Ames 30356*	<i>H. silphioides</i>	34	2011
8	Ames 30354*	<i>H. silphioides</i>	34	2011
9		<i>H. resinosus</i>	102	2012
10	PI 503209	<i>H. divaricatus</i>	34	2012
11	PI 503216	<i>H. divaricatus</i>	34	2012
12	PI 503218	<i>H. divaricatus</i>	34	2012

\* Difficult to make crosses

# MATERIALS

## Phase 3 (since 2013)

No.	Accession	Species	2n	Year
1	PI 666724	<i>H. simulans</i>	34	2013
2	PI 547217	<i>H. strumosus</i>	102	2013
3	PI 547226	<i>H. strumosus</i>	102	2013
4	PI 547242	<i>H. tuberosus</i>	102	2013
5	PI 650089	<i>H. tuberosus</i>	102	2013
6	PI 650105	<i>H. tuberosus</i>	102	2013
7	PI 435880	<i>H. decapetalus</i>	68	2013

Note: All PIs immune to rust race 336.

# METHODS



- Traditional crossing and backcrossing
- Embryo rescue
- Mitotic chromosome counting and pollen fertility examination
- Seed increase and field test for stalk and head rot
- GISH, FISH, and molecular markers

# RESULTS

## Seed increases in 2008-2014

Sources	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Hexaploid		160	112	37	32	36	52
Diploids-HA 410		153	164	43	55	53	6
Diploids-HA 441	169	70	181	99	120	36	96
Amphiploids		124	75	40	34	42	17
New diploids*						370	19
New tetraploids*						60	4
Total	169	507	532	219	241	597	194

\* Phase 2 crosses

## Field test in 2009-2014

Sources	Stalk rot (SR)						Head rot (HR)					
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Hexaploid	72	92	85	65	43	39		51	52	27	1	1
Diploids-HA 410	32	71	58	56	27	25		56	56	45	11	11
Diploids-HA 441	132	169	122	121	51	51	163	179	110	90	41	41
Amphiploids	77	81	59	42	18	16		23	22	11	0	0
New diploids						368						110
New tetraploids						60						0
Total	313	413	324	284	139	559	163	309	240	173	53	163

# Replicated Sclerotinia head rot field evaluation of 2013 retests (Tests 1 and 2) at Carrington, ND and Staples, MN in 2014

Pedigree*	Carrington, ND 2014		Staples, MN 2014	
	Disease Rating	Infected Plants	Disease Rating	Infected Plants
<b>TEST 1 (Second retest)</b>	0-5	%	0-5	%
(NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX ) x HA 441, BC1F2) HA 441,BC2F2	0	0	0.29	12
(NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX) x HA 441, BC1F2) HA 441, BC2F3	0	0	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX)) x HA 441, BC1F4	0	0	3.0	67
NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX) x HA 441 (3), BC3F2	0	0	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX)) x HA 441*3), BC3F3	0.19	4	2.8	69
(NMS HA 89 x 1323 (MAX)) x HA 441, BC1F4	0	0	0.67	17
(NMS HA 89 x 1324 (NUT)) x HA 441 (2), BC2F2	0.29	7	0.81	17
((NMS HA 89 x 1008 (NUT)) x HA 441), BC1F5	0	0	1.23	28
((NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX) x HA 441) HA441, BC2F4	0.38	9	2.8	67
(NMS HA 89 x 1008 (NUT) x HA 441(2), BC2F2	0	0	1.00	25
 <b>Recurrent parent HA 441</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>TEST 2 (First retest)</b>				
((NMSHA 89 x H. GRO=PI613793) HA 410*2), BC2F3	0.37	9	3.23	17
(NMS HA 89 x H. GIG=PI547182) HA 410), BC1F4	0.50	10	2.50	80
((NMS HA 89 x H. GRO=PI613793) HA 410), BC2F3	0	0	0.67	17
((NMS HA 89 x H. GRO=PI613793) HA 410), BC1F4 *new 69*	0.65	8	1.92	45
 <b>Recurrent parent HA 410</b>	<b>2.61</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>3.63</b>	<b>83</b>
((NMS HA 89 x 1323(MAX) x HA 441) BC1F5	0.29	7	1.80	40
((NMS HA 89 x 1324 (NUT) x HA 441) BC1F5	0	0	0.67	17
((NMS HA 89 x 1008 (NUT)) x HA 441) HA 441, BC2F4	0.18	5	2.77	69
((NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX)) x HA 441) BC1F6	0	0	0.36	9
((NMS HA 89 x 1324 (NUT)) x HA 441) BC1F5	0	0	0.42	8
((NMS HA 89 x 1008 (NUT)) x HA 441) HA 441) BC2F4	0.39	17	1.46	54
((NMS HA 89 x 1008 (NUT)) x HA 441) HA 441) BC2F4	0	0	0.65	18
 <b>Recurrent parent HA 441</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1.01</b>	<b>38</b>

# Replicated Sclerotinia head rot field evaluation of new inter-specific crosses at Carrington, ND and Staples, MN in 2014

Pedigree*	Carrington, ND 2014		Staples, MN 2014	
	Disease Rating	Infected Plants	Disease Rating	Infected Plants
<b>TEST 3 (New Selections)</b>				
NMS HA 89 x ((SAL) x HA 410), F2	0.92	23	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((SAL) x HA 410), F2	0.85	23	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((SAL) x HA 410), F2	1.08	23	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((SAL) x HA 410), F2	1.08	23	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((SAL) x HA 410), F2	0.31	6	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((OCC) x HA 410), F2	0.83	17	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((OCC) x HA 410), F2	0.67	17	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((OCC) x HA 410), F2	0.83	17	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((OCC) x HA 410), F2	0	0	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((OCC) x HA 410), F2	1.00	22	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((OCC) x HA 410), F2	0.67	17	-	-
NMS HA 89 x ((OCC) x HA 410), F2	-	-	0.69	15
NMS HA 89 x ((SAL) x HA 410), F2	-	-	0	0
NMS HA 89 x ((SAL) x HA 410), F2	-	-	0.69	31
NMS HA 89 x ((SAL) x HA 410), F2	-	-	0.91	27
<b>Recurrent parent HA 410</b>	<b>2.61</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>3.63</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Checks</b>				
<b>Susceptible check HA 89 (S)</b>	<b>3.31</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Susceptible check Mycogen (Cargill) 272 (S)</b>	<b>3.50</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>4.14</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Resistant check Croplan 305 (R)</b>	<b>0.95</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3.14</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>Resistant check Croplan 343 (R)</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0.86</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Amphiploid Bulk (R)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

\*The first three letters of the *Helianthus* species are used to identify the species source.

S=Susceptible, R=Resistant.

# Replicated Sclerotinia stalk rot field evaluation of 2013 retest (Test 1) at Carrington, ND and Grandin, ND in 2014

Pedigree*	Carrington, ND 2014	Grandin, ND 2014
	Percent Infected Plants	Percent Infected Plants
<b>TEST 1 (Second retest)</b>	%	%
(DIV (68) x GRO (68) HA410(3), BC2F2) x HA 410	10	0
(STR (68) x HA 410 (3), BC2F2) x HA 410	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F5	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F4	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F4	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F4	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F4	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F4	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F4	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F5	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F5	0	0
CAL 2376 x HA 410, BC4F2	0	0
CAL 2376 x HA 410 (5), BC4F3	0	0
CAL 2376 x HA 410 (5), BC4F3	0	0
CAL 2376 x HA 410 (5), BC4F3	0	0
CAL 2376 x HA 410 (5), BC4F3	0	0
MAX (68) sib x HA 410 (3), BC2F2	0	0
STR (68) x HA 410 (3), BC2F3	0	0
<b>Recurrent parent HA 410</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>31</b>



# Replicated Sclerotinia stalk rot field evaluation of 2013 retests (Tests 1 and 2) at Carrington, ND and Grandin, ND in 2014

Pedigree*	Carrington, ND 2014	Grandin, ND 2014
	Percent Infected Plants	Percent Infected Plants
<b>TEST 1 (Second retest)</b>	%	%
((NMS HA 89 x 1008 (NUT)) x HA 441) HA 441, BC2F3	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX)) x HA 441*2, BC2F4	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX)) x HA 441*2, BC2F4	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1314 (MAX)) x HA 441, BC2F4	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1323 (MAX)) x HA 441, BC3F3	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1018 (MAX)) x HA 441*3}, BC3F3	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1314 (MAX)) x HA 441*3}, BC3F2	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1323 (MAX)) x HA 441}, BC1F5	0	0
((NMS HA 9 x 1324 (NUT)) x HA 441, BC2F4	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1324 (NUT)) x HA 441, BC2F4	0	0
<b>Recurrent parent HA 441</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>TEST 2 (First retest)</b>		
((NMS HA 89 x GRO=PI613793) HA 410*2), BC1F4	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x GRO=PI613793) HA 410), BC1F4	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F5	0	0
(CAL 2376 x HA 410*5), BC4F4	0	0
(MAX 68 SIB x HA 410*3), BC2F4	0	0
(STR 68 x HA 410*3), BC3F3	0	0
<b>Recurrent parent HA 410</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>31</b>
((NMS HA 89 x 1323 (MAX) HA 441), BC2F4	0	0
((NMS HA 89 x 1323 (MAX) HA 441), BC1F5	0	5
<b>Recurrent parent HA 441</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>CHECKS</b>		
<b>Susceptible check HA 89 (S)</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Susceptible check Mycogen (Cargill) 272 (S)</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Resistant check Croplan 305 (R)</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Resistant check Croplan 343 (R)</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Amphiploid Bulk (R)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>



# Sclerotinia stalk rot field evaluation of new interspecific crosses at Carrington, ND and Grandin, ND in 2014

Pedigree*	Percent Infected Plants and Number of Entries					
	0%	1-5%	6-10%	11-15%	16-20%	>20%
<b>CARRINGTON—New selections</b>						
((NMS HA 89 x (OCC) x HA 410), F2	37	13	17	31	20	66
((NMS HA 89 x (SAL) x HA 410), F2	0	1	0	0	0	1
<b>Recurrent parent HA 410=9%</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—
((NMS HA89 x (OCC) x HA 451), F2	5	3	4	6	2	4
<b>Recurrent parent HA 451=46%</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>TOTAL NUMBER</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>GRANDIN—New selections</b>						
	0%	1-5%	6-10%	11-15%	16-20%	>20%
((NMS HA 89 x (SAL) x HA 410), F2	2	6	6	14	13	86
((NMS HA 89 x (HIR) x HA 410), F2	5	5	2	8	3	26
((NMS HA 89 x (OCC) x HA 410), F2	0	1	1	4	5	13
<b>Recurrent parent HA 410 =31%</b>						
(NMS HA 89 X (HIR) x HA 451), F2	0	0	1	1	0	9
<b>Recurrent parent HA 451=42%</b>	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>TOTAL NUMBER</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL FOR ALL TESTS</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>205</b>



Backcrosses between HA 410, HA 451, and NMS HA 89 and wild *H. hirsutus*, *H. salicifolius*, *H. occidentalis*, and *H. divaricatus* and seed set from 2013 to 2014

Parentage	BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> (2013-2014)			BC <sub>1</sub> F <sub>2</sub> (2013-2014)			BC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub> (2014) (F <sub>1</sub> as female)			BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub> (2014) (F <sub>1</sub> as female)		
	Plants	2n	Seed set %	Plants	2n	Seed set %	Plants	2n	Seed set %	Plants	2n	Seed set %
<i>H. hirsutus</i> × HA 451	28	37-45	10.1	-	-	-	13	34-37	19.7	8	34-37	42.2
NMS HA 89 × <i>H. hirsutus</i>	31	34-46	9.0	-	-	-	18	34-39	12.7	5	34, 35	15.0
<i>H. salicifolius</i> × HA 410	26	34-36	34.3	5	34	9.0	16	34-36	24.0	19	34, 35	2.2
<i>H. occidentalis</i> × HA 410	12	34-36	25.7	12	34-36	22.8	27	34-36	23.2	16	34, 35	17.1
NMS HA 89 × <i>H. occidentalis</i>	7	34-36	34.7	-	-	-	1	35	80.0	3	34	11.0
<i>H. divaricatus</i> × HA 410	2	35	1.1	3	34	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
NMS HA 89 × <i>H. divaricatus</i>	25	34-36, 51	36.7	3	34	22.9	-	-	-	-	-	-

# Seed set and pollen fertility of new interspecific crosses of wild perennials crossed with HA 410 in 2013-2014

Parentage	F <sub>1</sub> (2013-2014)			BC <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub> (2014)			
	Plants	BC <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub> seeds	% Seed set	Plants	% Fertility	BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> seeds	% Seed set
<i>H. simulans</i> (PI 666724) × HA 410	25	28	0.03	10	86.44	Many	85.50
<i>H. strumosus</i> (PI 547217) × HA 410	17	775	5.17	29	3.01	24	0.13
<i>H. strumosus</i> (PI 547226) × HA 410	15	507	4.88	25	4.48	9	0.12
<i>H. tuberosus</i> (PI 547242) × HA 410	6	279	5.96	22	7.48	11	0.14
<i>H. tuberosus</i> (PI 650089) × HA 410	17	2149	18.56	21	3.07	64	0.91
<i>H. tuberosus</i> (PI 650105) × HA 410	14	1349	17.91	20	5.00	23	0.28
<i>H. decapetalus</i> (PI 435880) × HA 410	5	4	0.88	-	-	-	-

# SUMMARY



- Replicated field evaluation in 2014 of 2013 retest for 131 families for stalk rot and 53 families for head rot confirmed **successful introgression** of resistance genes
- More than 400 **new early generation families** from Phase 2 crosses tested in 2014 suggested **excellent stalk rot resistance**
- Seed was increased in the field for nearly 200 progeny families in 2014
- Some progenies from five crosses of Phase 3 are established in greenhouse for further backcrossing

## FUTURE WORK



- Families with better resistance than the recurrent parents were identified from the first retest, second retest and new selections will be retested again or released as a germplasm
- Initiate QTL mapping populations with selected resistant materials
- Continue to backcross the progenies derived from different sources to reduce 2n chromosomes to 34
- Characterize a newly discovered CMS from *H. salicifolius*

## FUTURE WORK



- Study the **chromosome non-reduction** in interspecific crosses, which has been observed in the progenies derived from six wild perennials (**See Poster**)
- Identify chromosome **addition lines, and characterize alien chromosomes or fragments** in cultivated background

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**



## **Funding: National Sclerotinia Initiative**

Lisa Brown

Jiuhuan Feng

Amy Gnoinsky

Ming Zhang

Hongxia Wang

Marjorie Olson

Megan Ramsett

Leonard Cook

Angelia Hogness

Chris Misar

Michelle Gilley

Zahirul Talukder

Nikolay Balbyshev (Retired)

Many others who have helped.....



**Questions?**

**Thank you!**